Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/557,191	FERGUSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Paul A. Zucker	1621
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Paul A. Zucker</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Leonard C. Mitchard</u> .	(4)	•
Date of Interview: <u>17 April 2007</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A	•	
Claims discussed: N/A		•
Prior art documents discussed: N/A	·	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
		•
•		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Mr. Mitchard to rquest authorization for an Examiner's Amendment to add the required heading for the descrip[tion of the drawings. Mr. Mitchard agreed to authorize an Examiner's Amendment of the following form:

The specification has been amended as follows:

Page 15, between lines 30 and 31: The heading -- Brief Description of the Drawings -- has been inserted.